

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/831,253	06/27/2001	Ignacio Jose Ezquerro Saenz	U013446-9	U013446-9 2595	
140 7590	12/09/2005		EXAMINER		
LADAS & PARRY			KIM, YUNSOO		
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/831,253	EZQUERRO SAENZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yunsoo Kim	1644		

	Tunsoo Kim	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext	on which the petition under 37 GFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount.	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s	hortened statutory period for reply original	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as			
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		te of the final rejection, o	even if timely filed,			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol><li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp</li></ol>						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter			e appeal. Since			
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	67 CFR 41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			3344			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		•				
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	·	•			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-24 and 32-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>26-31</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  [In the affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.						
		Patrick J. Nolan, Pl Primary Examiner				
		Tech Center 1600.	12/5/05			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendment filed after a final rejection has not been entered. Applicant's request to a rejoinder of withdrawn method claims was not proper after the final. The withdrawn claims 26-31 drawn to a method of treating a liver disease require further consideration. Applicant may cancel withdrawn claims for entry of amendment to expedite process.

PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH D. PRIMARY EXAMIN

Patsfille

PRIMARY EXAMINATED 12/7/05